

**DIRECTORATE OF DISTANCE EDUCATION
NALSAR UNIVERSITY OF LAW, HYDERABAD**

Advanced Post-Graduate Diploma in Criminal Law & Forensic Science

Academic Year: 2020-2021

First Semester - End Semester –Take Home Examination (January, 2021)

**Paper II - 1.1.2. Criminal Law and Legislative
Underpinnings**

TOTAL MARKS: 100

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

- a) Read the instructions for Take Home Examination carefully and adhere to the same.
 - b) *Please mention your name, ID No., subject name and total number of pages on the Answer Sheet.*
 - c) *Clearly indicate the question numbers while answering them.*
 - d) *Answer all the four questions and each question carries 25 marks.*
 - e) *The answer for the 25 marks question should be written in 1200 - 1500 words.*
 - f) *Since this is a take home exam, we expect your answers to be analytical rather than straight answers.*
 - g) Copying from any source including from other students is strictly prohibited. Plagiarism is considered as a serious academic mis-conduct and the University will take action as it deems fit.
-

1. Mrs Sunitha wanted to poison and kill her husband Anand. She was in love with one Sonu and he encouraged Sunitha to get rid of her husband so that they both can get married. She bought poison from a medical shop on some pretext. She added the same poison in the food and served it. When Mr Anand was about to eat their dog snatched away the plate and ate the food and it died. Sunitha was arrested. Discuss the offence committed by Sunitha and Sonu with the help of relevant law.
2. Discuss the law relating to Rape with reference to the 2013 Amendment bill with the help of landmark cases decided.
3. Mr Ponnamm was beaten up by Arvind and his friends on arms and legs for half an hour. They caused multiple injuries and Ponnamm became unconscious after that. He was admitted in the hospital and he died after fifteen days. The post mortem report revealed that multiple injuries have caused internal extravagation of blood which resulted in cardiac arrest ultimately. Arvind argued in the court

that Ponnam abused him very badly and in a heat of passion he assaulted Arvind. He tried to convince the court by saying that he never intended to kill the deceased. The weapon he used was a normal bamboo stick and it was not a lethal weapon and the lace of injuries inflicted also were not vital parts. Examine the offence committed by Arvind. Discuss whether the argument of Arvind is acceptable with the help of relevant law and cases

4. A is sending abusive messages to Anitha on whatsapp. Sometime he forwarded pornographic images as well. Examine the offence committed by A. Discuss various other kinds of cybercrimes along with the relevant law and cases.